

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA

Nathan G. DuBray, )  
vs. Plaintiff, )      **ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND  
RECOMMENDATION**  
Chad Pringle, )      Civil No. 3:16-cv-29  
Defendant. )

Petitioner Nathan G. DuBray filed a petition for habeas relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2254.<sup>1</sup> The Court has received a Report and Recommendation from the Honorable Alice R. Senechal, United States Magistrate Judge, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, recommending that:

- 1) The Defendant's, Chad Pringle, motion to dismiss be granted;
- 2) DuBray's petition for habeas relief be dismissed with prejudice;
- 3) The Court certify that an appeal from the dismissal of this action may not be taken *in forma pauperis* because such an appeal is frivolous and cannot be taken in good faith; and
- 4) A certificate of appealability not be issued with respect to any of the issues raised by DuBray in this action.

No party has objected to the Report and Recommendation.

The Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation and agrees with Magistrate Judge Senechal's recommendation. Accordingly, the Court hereby adopts the Report and Recommendation in its entirety.

---

<sup>1</sup> Doc. #1.

Based upon the entire record before the Court, dismissal of the motion is not debatable, reasonably subject to a different outcome on appeal, or otherwise deserving of further proceedings. Therefore, a certificate of appealability will not be issued by this court.<sup>2</sup> If the petitioner desires further review of his petition, he may request the issuance of a certificate of appealability by a circuit judge of the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals in accordance with Tiedeman.<sup>3</sup>

Additionally, the Court finds that any appeal would be frivolous, could not be taken in good faith, and may not be taken *in forma pauperis*.<sup>4</sup>

For the foregoing reasons, DuBray's application requesting habeas relief is **DENIED**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated this 28th day of September, 2016.

*/s/      Ralph R. Erickson*  
Ralph R. Erickson, District Judge  
United States District Court

---

<sup>2</sup> See Tiedeman v. Benson, 122 F.3d 518, 522 (8th Cir. 1997) (holding that a district court possesses the authority to issue certificates of appealability under 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)).

<sup>3</sup> Id. at 525-52.

<sup>4</sup> See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) ("An appeal may not be taken *in forma pauperis* if the trial court certifies in writing that it is not taken in good faith."); see also Coppedge v. United States, 360 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962).